

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
1	Per our conversation, I'm looking for a bid items list for the Boulder Avenue Improvements project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Engineer's Estimate is included in the Contract documents, which are available for purchase from the City. Please see the 'Notice Inviting Bids' for further information regarding securing a complete set of bid documents. - Directed KEC to the City Website/ link down was down; so, KEC was provided the electronic documents directly
2	Please provide digital plans/specs and coordinating addenda to our plan room pursuant to AB 2036 (details provided on this law below) for the project below.	Link Provided by the City/AECOM to their FTP site
3	I would like to request the plans and specifications for Boulder Avenue Improvements	Link Provided by AECOM to their FTP site
4	I've tried to locate the plans/specs online for the above referenced project but cannot find them. I am trying to find out what the grading quantities are to see if we are interested in the project. Also, what is the DBE participation %?	I trust you were able to download the plans and specifications for the subject project. Also, the UDBE goal for the project remains at 12%.
5	We would like to request that the bid date of November 17 be postponed for a minimum of three weeks if possible. We are very interested in bidding this project, but currently have two large Caltrans projects bidding on November 17. Your consideration of this request is appreciated.	Your request is noted; however, November 17th is considered a firm date at this time. The City will post an addendum if the situation changes.
6	Good afternoon, what is the estimated budget for the above referenced project for bonding purposes? Also where can I get an original copy of the Notice Inviting Bids?	The Notice inviting bids should be posted on the City Website, or will be shortly. The Engineer's Estimate for this project is approximately \$13.6 Million.
7	Could you please let me know how the City is going to handle the testing & inspections scope? Will you be hiring it out for the city, or will they contract directly with an approved vendor? We would like to submit a proposal for this scope.	The Field and Plant Testing and Quality Assurance Inspection will be covered under the Construction Management contract, and a subconsultant has been secured for that aspect of the work.

City Of Highland
Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
8	I am trying to find out how to obtain Plans & Specs for the project "Boulder Ave Bridge Improvements" bidding on November 17 to City of Highland. Please let me know if I can call in my order and give the cc for payment or if it is by check only?	Link Sent to Monica @ Peterson Chase, Update sent to City of Highland
9	To facilitate proposal closeout prior to submittal, can an electronic version of the Bid Schedule such as a "Word" document be made available to bidders?	No, an editable version of the Bid Schedule will not be made available.
10	Letter "P" in the Bid Schedule. We cannot locate a definition or reference.	Letter "P" stands for Partial Pay Items as defined in Section 9-1.06 of Caltrans Standard Specifications dated May 2006 and Applicable Amendments.
11	Conflict. Letter "S" appears in Bid Schedule. Special Condition 2-3.2 states, no "Specialty" items in this contract. Please clarify as this affects the UDBE requirement.	The conflicting sentence shall be deleted. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
12	It is not clear exactly which bid forms and other items are to be included in the proposal. Is there a consolidated list of forms and items which are to be submitted with the contractor's proposal? Can the City provide?	Bidders need to follow both the City's requirements and the federal requirements and submit forms accordingly. All the forms requested in the Federal Requirements shall be submitted with the Contractor's Proposal.
13	Please refer to document section "Federal Requirements". Are the various certification, affidavit, etc. forms included in section "Federal Requirements" to be completed and submitted with the contractor's proposal or are these forms required upon award of the contract?	Bidders need to follow both the City's requirements and the federal requirements and submit forms accordingly. All the forms requested in the Federal Requirements shall be submitted with the Contractor's Proposal.

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
14	Can the subcontractors / suppliers list submitted with the proposal be modified after the contract has been awarded? For example, can the listed subs or suppliers be exchanged for others or can new subs / suppliers be added. Please clarify.	No, this will not be allowed by the CITY.
15	Is NTP contingent on City/Contractor acceptance of Final CPM? Special Condition 6-1.1, para 4 appears to indicate that the NTP will be granted upon the parties' acceptance of the CPM, ("Once approved and accepted by both the City and the Contractor, as signified by the issuance of the NTP"...). Will Work Days be assessed during the approval period? Please clarify.	The contract allows for a reasonable amount of time for preparation of the Contractor's CPM and acceptance of said CPM by the CITY.
16	Conflict: Special Condition 7-10.3.1 states City will fab & post "notification" signs at site. Special Condition 6-1.2 states Contractor will post "temporary" signs. Clarify who provides/installs the signs and how the signs differ?	The Contractor is responsible for furnishing and installing all temporary signs. The CITY will be responsible for <u>furnishing</u> "Public Information Signs," but the Contractor is responsible for installing "Public Information Signs" prior to beginning construction at the project site.
17	Is an alternate building / facility located near the site (+/- 1.5 miles) other than a trailer located at the site acceptable?	Both are acceptable to the CITY as long as all the Specification requirements are met, and will need approval from the CITY.
18	We have interpreted a Work Day and the Work Day Calendar to be Monday through Friday and the Green Book definition 6-7.2. Please confirm.	We take "No Exception" to your interpretation. However, the City directs your attention to Special Conditions Page SC-9, Section 2-11.2, City Holidays.
19	Should contractor operations be required beyond an 8 hour shift, it our understanding that the cost of inspection will be to the contractor's account. We understand this to include City employees, Construction Manager employees, and the Biological Monitor employees. Please confirm and provide rates of each which may be used for bidding purposes.	For bidding purposes, the CITY recommends using an average rate of \$175 per hour.

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
20	We cannot locate SP section "Submittals" as referred to in SP S39.01, bullet 3, page SP-28. Please clarify.	The reference shall be directed to SP39.02.
21	The resource agency permits allow vegetation removal prior to start of the bird nesting season, 2/15 (or 3/15). Activities required to be completed prior to performing any work include Contract Award, NTP, CPM submittal/review/acceptance, SWPPP preparation/ submittal/ review/ approval, personnel environmental training, and issuance of the WDID. Activities prior to vegetation removal include survey/installation of the ESA temporary fence. As completion of these activities could extend beyond 2/15, some construction activity during the 2012 construction season may be delayed due to nesting birds in the areas to be cleared. Given the late bid date, will Work Days be assessed if construction activity cannot proceed due to nesting bird restrictions? Please reference Questions 7 & 18, and SP 39.01, SP 39.02, SP 39.03, SP 39.05, SP10-1.01.	Please refer to Special Conditions Page SC -18, Sections 6-6 and 6-7.
22	If the Biological Monitor places a restriction on work due to nesting birds or other environmental issues such as burrowing owl, kangaroo rat, etc. after field work begins, will Work Days be assessed.	Please refer to Special Conditions Page SC -18, Sections 6-6 and 6-7.
23	SP S8.01, page SP-7, indicates that MWD or its subcontractor(s) will perform all activities related to its water system except as noted in paragraphs S8.01.D and S8.01.E. Payment for the Contractor coordination with MWD appears to be under Bid Item M-1 (refer to page P-21). Please confirm contractor scope requirements/responsibilities and MWD scope requirements/ responsibilities.	MWD Plans and Specifications are part of the bid package. Section SP8.01 will be revised to correctly state that all the MWD work is part of the project. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
24	The City has indicated that SCE requires 2 weeks for installation. How much should be allowed for MWD installation?	MWD Plans and Specifications are part of the bid package. No separate window is needed for MWD work. The Contractor shall include MWD work to determine the "Working Days" required for the project.

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
25	The Environmental Special Provisions require construction activities occur inside the "limit of construction" (Environmental Special Provisions 3-1.01.1, page 3). The drawings show two Right-of-Way limits, SBCFCD (sheet 33/37) and the alignment R/W (160' wide). The ESA fence is to be installed to the SBCFCD R/W. Due to the narrow alignment R/W can the SBCFCD R/W be used for activities such as girder erection, concrete pumping, etc.?	The CITY has obtained an encroachment permit from SBCFCD that permits work within SBCFCD Right-of-Way. The SBCFCD Encroachment permit is provided in Appendix H.
26	Conflict. CDFG 2.3 Bird Nesting Window is: 3/15 - 9/15. The Environmental SP 3-1.02 is: 2/15 - 8/31. Which is correct?	The "Bird Nesting Window" will be determined by the Biologist. Conservatively, the "Bird Nesting Window" shall be assumed to be from 2/15 to 9/15.
27	Refer to CDFG Permit 1600, paragraph 4.1. Has the Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plan been submitted and approved?	The CDFG and USFWS have approved the Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plans. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
28	Per CDFG 1600 Permit, paragraph 4.1, has the Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plan been submitted and approved?	The CDFG and USFWS have approved the Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plans. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
29	Per ACOE 404 Permit, bullet 4, has the "plant palette" been submitted and approved?	The plant palette as specified in the specifications is consistent with the requirements of ACOE 404. No separate submittal is required. ACOE is currently reviewing the "Plant Palette"
30	Per the Environmental Commitments Record, "Hazardous Materials", has ADL testing or Lead Based Paint testing been conducted or determined to be present?	The CITY will be conducting the ADL and Lead Base Paint Testing. If determined to be present, the CITY will issue a contract change order to cover the work associated with the handling and disposal requirements.

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
31	<p>If highway curfews for oversize loads such as the pre-cast girders require deliver during off-peak hours, can girder delivery to the site occur outside normal work hours (700 - 330), during the times when curfews are not in effect such as early morning and late at night? Ref Special Conditions 2-11.1 & Environmental Special Provisions 3-1.01.8.</p>	<p>Delivery of girders to the site off-peak hours is acceptable as approved by the Resource Agencies and as monitored by the Project Biologist.</p>
32	<p>The resource agency permits and the Environmental Special Provisions are difficult to follow regarding what approvals, permits, clearances, etc. are required to be obtained by the contractor or what contractor submittals are required for temporary stream diversion/re-routing or de-watering and pumping. It is not clear which resource agency provides the necessary reviews of any contractor submittals related to the same. Please clarify.</p>	<p>The CITY has obtained all approvals associated with the resource agency permits. As a requirement of the Section 401 Water Quality Certification, the CONTRACTOR is required to comply with Regional Board Order No. R8-2009-0003 General Waste Discharge Requirements for Discharges to Surface Waters that Pose an Insignificant (De Minimus) Threat to Water Quality. This includes, but not limited to preparation of a Notice of Intent (NOI) to Comply With the Terms and Conditions of the General Permit to Discharge Wastewater that Pose Insignificant (De Minimus) Threat to Water Quality. This is in addition to any NPDES requirements under the General Construction Permit, such as SWPPP approval. The Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board will provide the necessary review and approvals of these submittals.</p>
33	<p>To allow early submittal and approval of the CPM, SWPPP, De-watering/Pumping Plan and to allow time to obtain biological clearances, permits, licenses, etc., will the City consider issuance of a "Limited Notice to Proceed". Considering that the City and contractor have no control over the resource agency review period and due to the shorter project duration, this approach seems reasonable. Should a Limited NTP be granted, no Work Days would be assessed until field work could begin after approval of the front end requirements? Upon approval of the early submittals, the Full NTP could be issued and Work Days assessed. Please review.</p>	<p>All the critical path elements listed in the question (other than preparation and subsequent approval of CPM) count towards the working days.</p>

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
34	Refer to sheet 18/37: Culvert #5, Station 119+62.25 shows installation of storm drain manhole. This is not in the bid schedule. Which bid item should this work be included in?	A bid item will be added for the manhole structure. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
35	Is an electronic file or copy of the CAD drawings available to the bidders?	No, the bid package provides all the necessary information for bidding purposes.
36	Bid Item G - 13, Emulsion-Aggregate Slurry Seal. Unit of Measure is "ELT". Please provide unit definition.	Unit of Measure will be revised to "TON". Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
37	Bid Item D-17, Wingwall Structure is a Lump Sum item. It appears this item is duplicated by Bid Items BC-10, Concrete Retaining Wall & BC-12, and Reinforcing Steel Retaining Wall which are Unit Price items. Please confirm.	Agreed. D-17 shall be omitted. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
38	San Bernardino Transportation Department standard plan 206 for Catch Basin Type 'A' directs the reader to Standard Plan 206A and 206B. We cannot locate these plan sheets. Please provide these standard plans.	Standard Plan 206A and 206B is provided. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
39	Storm Drain sheet 16 of 37 shows both Type A and Type B Local depressions on Culvert #1. Under the Bid Schedule, Item D-4 only calls out Local Depression (Type A). In the plan drawing notes (#29), the reader is referred to the San Bernardino Road Department Standard Plan No. 203A, Type per plan. Please verify that all local depressions, regardless of type shall be paid under bid item D-4. Also please clarify what a Type A and Type B local depression is as the San Bernardino County Transportation Department Standard Plan 203A only show a single standard plan with no A or B. Lastly, please verify that San Bernardino County Road Department and San Bernardino County Transportation Department may be used interchangeably within the contract documents, specifically when referring to Standard Plans.	Note 29 Local Depression standard shall refer to San Bernardino County Road Department Standard Plan No. 203 B instead. Standard Plan 203 B is provided. Both Type A and Type B local depressions shall be paid under bid item D-4. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
40	<p>There is an existing 12" water main inside a 20" casing located at approximate Station 122+50. This facility is shown to be owned by EVWD. Is this facility in-use or abandoned? If the facility is in use, does EVWD intend to extend the existing casing to the limits of the new roadway? Has EVWD provided the City with any coordination requirements prior to its beginning the casing extension? If the casing is to be extended, what should the contractor anticipate as EVWD's construction duration to complete the extension work? Which Bid Item will the contractor coordination this be paid under</p>	<p>The water line shall be protected in place and there is no requirement to extend the casing.</p>
41	<p>Bid item D-27 Backing No 2, Method B, we see nothing indicated on the plans calling for this item, your chart on drawing 21 does not show it either. Where is it located?</p>	<p>Backing No. 2 is used as the backing class under the ¼ Ton RSP. See "Backing Class No." column on Table A of Sheet 21. All rock slope protection shall have "Placement Method A". Bid schedule will be revised to reflect correct placement method. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.</p>
42	<p>It appears that the inlet transition structure for Bledsoe Creek (DD no.3 sheet 23 of 37) is not clearly delineated in the Bid Schedule. What is the intention for item D-17? Please clarify.</p>	<p>Inlet transition structure for Bledsoe Creek is paid under bid item BC-11. D-17 will be omitted. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.</p>
43	<p>On sheet 12, on sections A-A, B-B, & C-C how thick is the riprap in the channel?</p>	<p>7 feet; Please refer to Detail 5 on sheet 10 of 37.</p>
44	<p>On sheet 12, near the bottom legend 7 "rock slope protection along city creek" says it is not to scale but to the left it says the scale is 1"=20', which is correct?</p>	<p>The plan view is 1"=20' and the section views are not to scale.</p>

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
45	In as much as the majority of the bid items are "final pay quantities" it is extremely important that the Contractor be provided with accurate existing conditions. On most of the plan view drawing there is a disclaimer that the topo shown is from 2006 and they may not represent actual conditions yet bid items G-9 & G-10 are final pay items. If accurate existing topos cannot be provide then we are requesting that those two bid items should not be final pay quantities. (lump sum)	Bid schedule is revised to reflect removal of Final Pay designation for Item G-9 and G-10. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
46	On sheet 4 legend #62 cold plan and overly) is indicated on the plan view at station 105+43 as a "point location" On one side is slurry #64 and the other side agg. base and asphalt #2. Exactly what are the limits of the cold plan and overlay?	The limits of cold plane and overlay are 2' from the limit of the new 0.58' HMA/1' agg. Base.
47	The local depression at CB#2 station 11+05 indicates a Type B, is this correct?	Local Depression Type B is correct for CB#2.
48	Bid Item D-4 states a quantity of 3 each, there are 5 each, one for each Type A Catch Basin	Local Depressions Type A and Type B will be paid under bid item D-4. Quantity is revised. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
49	What is the spec on the rock slope protection fabric type 8? And what is the gauge on the 36" & 42" corrugated steel pipe?	Rock slope protection fabric type 8 is covered under Section S34. As stated, it references to Section 72-2.025 of the Caltrans Standard Specifications. The 36" CSP shall have a thickness of 0.079", and the 42" CSP shall have a thickness of 0.052". Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
50	It appears that the inlet transition structure for Bledsoe Creek (DD No.3 sheet 23 of 37) is not clearly delineated in the Bid Schedule. What is the intention for item D-17? Please clarify.	Inlet transition structure for Bledsoe Creek is paid under bid item BC-11. D-17 will be omitted. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
51	<p>Bid Items BC-7 BC-10, BC-12 Where is the retaining wall located at, we cannot locate it?</p> <p>Bid item M-1 According to Special Provisions of the specifications MWD will perform all of their relocation of their facilities, what is this bid item for?</p>	<p>The bid items pertain to the wingwalls at Bledsoe Creek as shown on Sheet 22 of 37.</p> <p>MWD Plans and Specifications are part of the bid package. Section SP8.01 will be revised to correctly state that all the MWD work is part of the project. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.</p>
52	<p>We would like to acquire the plans and specs for this project. Could you assist us where the link to download the project?</p>	<p>Attached is the City Link. Please monitor periodically to find new information, addendums, questions and responses, etc...</p> <p>http://www.ci.highland.ca.us/Downloads/</p>
53	<p>Based on the specifications provided in the Greensheet, the bid documents seem to only be 30 pages (ending with the bid bond as the last page), however, there are a few pages from the federal requirements that seem to pertain to the bid documents such as The Equal Employment Opportunity, Non-collusion Affidavit, Debarment and Suspension Certification, Lobbying and GFE forms. Can you please provide clarification as to what constitutes the bid documents and what we should be including at the time of the bid?</p>	<p>Bidders need to follow both the City's requirements and the federal requirements and submit forms accordingly. All the forms requested in the Federal Requirements shall be submitted.</p>
54	<p>Can you please provide planholders list?</p> <p>Also, I still have not heard a response on my question below. Just following up.</p>	<p>Here is the City Link. Please monitor periodically to find new information, addendums, questions and responses, etc...</p> <p>http://www.ci.highland.ca.us/Downloads/</p>
55	<p>We notice in the Bid Schedule portion of the Bid Proposal that each item is to have the unit price written in words as well as in numerals. Is it the intent of the City of Highland to have each responding contractor fill the bid schedule this way? If so we do not find in the Specifications which take precedence. Please advise.</p>	<p>The CITY will update the bid schedule and remove requirements for unit price to be written in words. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.</p>

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
56	<p>One of our clients is interested in bidding the above referenced project and requested that we, as their insurance broker, review the insurance section. Upon our review, we have the following questions and were given your contact information to submit questions/concerns. Please see the following and advise at your earliest convenience.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>7-3.1 c.</u> - Please forward a copy of your Additional Insured endorsement as referenced. 2. <u>7-3.3 a. i-vi</u> - If the insurance carrier utilizes the CG 0001 form will that satisfy these requirements? 3. <u>7-3.5 a.</u> - What specific exclusion are you asking to be deleted as respect to "personal injury with employment exclusion deleted" (is that contained in the CG 0001 form)? Personal & Advertising Injury is afforded coverage under Part B. If you are referring to the employment related practices exclusion, if the insured maintains a separate Employment Practices Liability Insurance policy, would that suffice? 4. <u>7-3.5 d.</u> - If coverage is provided by an admitted carrier they cannot manuscript wording to a policy without prior approval of the Department of Insurance (DOI) or they could be subject to fines. It is highly unlikely any admitted carrier has DOI approved language as required by this section. Will you accept the specific carrier's DOI approved language as respect to the Primary Non-Contributory wording? Secondly, as respect to the required indemnification language, will the standard blanket contractual coverage included in the CG 0001 form be an acceptable alternative? 5. <u>7-3.6</u> - Carriers are unwilling to provide certified or registered mail written notice of cancellation to certificate holders. If a carrier is willing to provide 30 day cancellation w/ 10 day for non-payment notice (per California Law) to the certificate holder, is this acceptable? 	<p>The CITY bid documents are clear as it pertains to insurance requirements.</p>
57	<p>Bid item D-27 Backing No 2, Method B, we see nothing indicated on the plans calling for this item, your chart on drawing 21 does not show it either. Where is it located?</p>	<p>Backing No. 2 is used as the backing class under the ¼ Ton RSP. See "Backing Class No." column on Table A of Sheet 21. All rock slope protection shall have "Placement Method A". Bid schedule will be revised to reflect correct placement method. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.</p>
58	<p>They wanted to know if the Pre-Bid Conf. was mandatory, and requested the plans and Specs.</p>	<p>I told them it was not, and sent Manny Guerrero, EPS, the City website Link</p>

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
59	Regarding the replacement of the asphalt/base (not shown) over the new box culvert, do you want 7" over 12" or 2"/3.6"/8"?	Full depth slurry cement backfill shall be constructed over the box culvert, similar to what is to be constructed over the box culvert under the new roadway section See detail on sheet 20 of 37.
60	<p>1). Bridge Plans, Sheet 19 of 36. The Overhang Corbel detail. The plans only state that this detail is "typical, where occurs" but does not detail specifically where this is. Are we to assume that this detail shall be applied continuously on both sides of the structure between the pedestals?</p> <p>2). Bridge Plans, Sheet 2 of 36 indicates Limits of Lean Concrete Base underneath the Abutment Footings, as well as the Retaining Wall Footings. The thickness of LCB is shown to be 2' to 3' below the footing. Is this dimension to be taken from the bottom of the footing.....or the bottom of the key of the footing?</p>	<p>1) The locations and spacing of corbels are shown in "Architectural Layout Detail" on Sheet 33 of 36.</p> <p>2) The dimension shall be measured from bottom of the footing at both abutments and retaining walls.</p>
61	<p>Bid Schedule - Bledsoe Creek Box Culvert</p> <p>Bid Items BC-3 and BC-4 refer to the RSP backing and RSP fabric for the Bledsoe Creek box culvert. Should there also be a bid item for RSP (Class: light)? Tables A and B on Drainage Plan sheet 21 of 37 specifies that the Bledsoe creek channel has RSP class light (2.5 ft thick) and 0 ft thick backing. Since there is no backing for the Bledsoe Creek channel, should bid item BC-3 be eliminated and a new bid item for the RSP be added? Please confirm.</p>	Bid item BC-3 revised to ROCK SLOPE PROTECTION (LIGHT, METHOD A), as shown on Sheet 21 of 37. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
62	<p>Bid Schedule - Storm Drain Items</p> <p>Bid Items D-26 and D-27 specify RSP backing No. 1 and RSP backing No. 2 respectively. Table A on sheet 21 of 37 only specifies backing class No. 2 for storm drain items. Should Bid Item D-26 RSP backing No. 1 be eliminated? Please confirm.</p>	Table A on sheet 21 of 37 specifies Backing No. 1 as an RSP Class. Backing No. 2 is specified as the Backing Class No. for the ¼ Ton RSP. Both items are to remain.

City Of Highland
Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
63	<p>MWD Please reference Special Provisions page SP-7 and Bid Schedule Item M-1. It is not clear to us what the Bid Item covers. Please define and state.</p>	<p>MWD Plans and Specifications are part of the bid package. Section SP8.01 will be revised to correctly state that all the MWD work is part of the project. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.</p>
64	<p>Bridge - Sheet 19 / 36 Please reference Sheet 19 / 36 Overhang Corbel Detail. A positive connection with rebar or studs between the corbel and the precast girder is not shown. Is the detail correct?</p>	<p>There is no positive connection between the corbel and the precast girders. However, if the contractor were to provide this positive connection, it would not conflict with design assumptions or cause adverse effects on the performance of the structure.</p>
65	<p>Bridge - Sheet 17 / 36 Please reference Sheet 17 / 36 and Sheet 20 / 36. Section B-B on sheet 17 shows a construction joint between the top of pier cap and deck, however, Section A-A does not. Please confirm Section A-A has a similar construction joint. Also reference Sheet 20 / 36. Sections A-A, B-B, C-C, and D-D do not show a construction joint between the top of the abutment diaphragm and the deck. Please confirm there is a construction joint at this location in all sections.</p>	<p>All tops of girders, diaphragms, and pier caps may have construction joints to allow for an independent deck pour.</p>
66	<p>Bridge Please refer to the bridge plans. There are no construction joints shown in the deck. As the deck pour is large, will construction joints be allowed in the deck? If so, please provide any required criteria (submittals, pour sequence, etc).</p>	<p>Attention is directed to Caltrans Standard Specifications.</p>

City Of Highland
Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
67	<p>Certain terms of the contract do not excuse the Contractor from delays outside of the control of Contractor, such as S4.02, S5, S14.03, S37 of the Special Provisions and §7-10.4.5 of Special Conditions. However, §6-6 of the Greenbook provides schedule relief for events beyond the control of the Contractor. How will the City interpret the provisions that appear to conflict? The Contractor cannot rely on the geotechnical studies performed and the Contractor will be liable for relying on such studies (S4.02, S14.03 of Special Provisions). However, §3-4 of the Standard Specifications provides schedule relief for changed site conditions. How will the City interpret the provisions that appear to conflict?</p>	<p>The Specifications listed stand on their own, and are typical of federally funded projects; therefore, the City will not comment on hypothetical scenarios.</p> <p>The City will evaluate each situation individually on its own merits.</p>
68	<p>Will the City indemnify, defend and hold the Contractor harmless from any type of environmental liability, such as pre-existing site conditions or liability arising from the acts or omissions of the City and its other contractors?</p>	<p>No, the City will not.</p>
69	<p>Will the City agree to limit the Contractor's indemnity obligation to the proportionate extent the Contractor is negligent in order to hold the Contractor responsible only to the extent it caused the damage and not for damage caused by third parties? Currently, the City requires the Contractor indemnify the City for more than the Contractor's negligence and for all claims. §10 of the Agreement and §7-3.5(d) of Special Conditions.</p>	<p>No, the City will not.</p>

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
70	Will the City agree to a customary and reasonable limit of liability that allows the Contractor to better evaluate and quantify its potential risk?	No, the City will not.
71	Will the City agree to a customary and reasonable waiver of consequential, special and indirect damages that allows the Contractor to better evaluate and quantify its potential risk?	No, the City will not.
72	Will the City procure the Builders Risk and Pollution Liability Insurance policies? The proposal currently does not account for these costs as the policies are typically provided by a client and name all other contractor and their subcontractors as insured parties.	No, the City will not.
73	The insurance policies required by the Contractor will be covered by the Contractor's corporate package policy. The deductibles and self-insured retention amounts may be reviewed by the City; however, these amounts cannot be modified. Will the City delete the right of City to approve or modify the deductible and self-insured amounts? §7-3.7 of Special Conditions.	No, the City will not.
74	Will the City respond to the following technical revision to the insurance provision in the Agreement to conform with the Contractor's corporate package policy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Page C-3, #11, second paragraph, fourth and fifth lines: Delete all instances of the word "aggregate". 	No, the City will not delete all instances of the word "aggregate".
75	Addendum 1 defines the period of performance as 210 work days. Will the period of performance be a different day according to the Notice to Proceed, which will state that the work will be completed within 35 working days after the date specified in the Notice according to §3 of the Agreement? Will Liquidated Damages commence 35 working days after the final completion date? Is the 35 working days float that belongs to the Contractor?	What is provided in the Specifications is a sample agreement. The language in the sample agreement shall be edited to match the City and Federal Requirements. No float will be considered to be for the exclusive use of the Contractor.
76	Will the City clarify whether the Contractor is liable for \$500 or \$9,720 per working day in excess of the final milestone? §6-9 of Special Conditions	The conflicting liquidated damage amounts are corrected. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
77	Will the City agree that the Liquidated Damages is the exclusive remedy available to the City for the potential damages for which the Liquidated Damages are developed to address? Will the City agree to a customary and reasonable limit of liability to the Liquidated Damages for the Contractor to better evaluate and quantify its potential risk	Liquidated Damages are the exclusive remedy available to the City, and the City will not agree to a customary and reasonable limit of liability to the Liquidated Damages.
78	Addendum 1, Question 1 significantly decreases the number of working days from 300 WD to 210 WD. Even with significant overtime, we believe this is insufficient time to complete the project and request the City to reevaluate this revision. Please confirm the number of working days is per Addendum 1.	Per Addendum No. 1, 210 working days is correct.
79	For your information: Our takeoff for bid item G-11 is 4600 ton G-12 is 705 ton G-14 is 4100 cy	We have reviewed the Bid Items that you have identified, and the revised quantities are presented in the updated bid schedule. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
80	I have completed a takeoff for the ac removal on this project. The quantity is over 3,000 cy and that does not include the excavation for the Multi-Use trail. Specification section S14.03 Roadway Excavation includes ac removal and the excavation for the trail. The pay item G-10 Roadway Excavation is 1,030 cy. As you can see this item will overrun. This should be corrected before the bids are submitted to avoid any issues once the project is started.	We have reviewed the Bid Item that you have identified, and the revised quantity is presented in the updated bid schedule. Attention is directed to Addendum 2.
81	You are asking for 2 computer docking stations for desktop computers, docking stations are not used for desktop computers.	Two (2) Docking Stations are in addition to the desktop computers.
82	Can we substitute Dell or comparable equipment in lieu of the HP desktop and printer specified?	Yes

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
83	You require telephone lines but no mention of telephones? Are they required to be furnished?	Three (3) Telephones are also required.
84	None of the bid items are identified as "Final Pay Quantity" items. Typically, the structure items are final pay items. Please consider making at least the following items, final pay quantity items: Item #B-2 thru B-5, B-7 thru B-10, B-15 thru B-19, and BC-6 thru BC-13.	Please see Addendum #2, posted on the City Website. http://www.ci.highland.ca.us/Downloads/
85	In regards to the Boulder Avenue Improvement Project, I am looking for the San Bernardino County Flood Control District Construction Encroachment Permit No. P-22011017. According to the Bidders Questions and Answers that was released Monday, November 14, 2011, the City's response for questions no. 25 stated the encroachment permit is provided in Appendix H. I do not see the actually permit. Could you please send me a copy via email or fax?	Permit file e-mailed to Powell Constructors @ 1529 on 11/14/11
86	Item 5 of Addendum #2 says that the Contractor will move any conflicting MWD facilities. We see no plans or specifications to perform this work. MWD is very particular in its facilities. We bid a job for the Corps of Engineers that involved extending an 8" blow pipe and there were 48 pages of MWD specifications for that work. This involves moving a blow off structure and misc. items, we need drawings and specifications to be able to bid this work	The MWD Plans and specifications are included in the Plans and Contract Document package provided by the City to all potential bidders. The MWD "Blow-Off and Pumpwell Structure Modification/Relocation at Inland Feeder Sta 696+16" plans are provided following the Boulder Avenue Replacement Structure plans. The MWD specifications are provided in Appendix L on the CD included in the Contract Documents. Please direct bidders to the CD provided at the end of the contract documents for Appendix items as listed in the Table of Contents.

City Of Highland

Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
87	<p>In regards to the Boulder Ave. Project that bids on the 17th, are there any Traffic Control plans or is this the contractor's responsibility? Do any TC plans require a W.E.T Stamp? Also, in regards to Item #G-29, what type of markers and delineators is this referring to? Is there a quantity sheet or plan sheet other than the "Signing and Striping" sheets that gives more detail? Let me know, thank you.</p>	<p>Although the SSP and the bid item calls out "markers and delineators", there are no delineators. There are just pavement markers associated with the traffic striping. There is no quantity sheet for the markers.</p> <p>Regarding the traffic control plan, it is the Contractor's responsibility. However, since the street is closed, we do not see traffic control plans as a significant work item. If the contractor feels the need to control traffic beyond the areas of street closure, then the contractor shall prepare traffic control plans as deemed necessary by the City/RE.</p>
88	<p>In regards to the Boulder Avenue Improvement Project, I am looking for the San Bernardino County Flood Control District Construction Encroachment Permit No. P-22011017. According to the Bidders Questions and Answers that was released Monday, November 14, 2011, the City's response for questions no. 25 stated the encroachment permit is provided in Appendix H. I do not see the actually permit.</p>	<p>The first page was missing in the CD provided. Please send the attached to all perspective bidders.</p> <p>"SBCFCD Encroachment Permit.pdf" (sent to prospective Bidders/Attendees List)</p> <p>Regarding the TCE in the channel, the TCE is delineated per the fencing limits on the plans.</p>
89	<p>We have a concern regarding the new bid date for the Boulder Ave Bridge bid. The new bid date of Nov 23 is causing some concerns with many of the subs and suppliers since it is a day before Thanksgiving and most people take that day off. I have been asked by these vendors and subcontractors to request the bid date to be moved up. We would be ok with it bidding on Monday or Tuesday</p>	<p>The City cannot change the bid date for this project</p>

City Of Highland
Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement over City Creek

Bidders Questions and Answers

Updated: Monday, November 21, 2011

#	Question	City's Response
	<p>November 21 or 22nd.</p> <p>Please consider this request as it would definitely give the City the best chance of all parties giving us their last price.</p>	
90	<p>With regard to the Good Faith documentation for the above referenced,</p> <p>Please confirm to me whether or not Friday, 11/25/00 will count as a business day or a holiday.</p> <p>Will you need to receive the documentation on Wednesday 11/30 or Thursday 12/1 ?</p> <p>Thank you for your assistance</p>	<p>Nov. 25, 2011 is a holiday and therefore will not be counted as a working day.</p>
91	<p>Mr. Hannigan,</p> <p>With reference to SP Section 3-1.09, Habitat Enhancement Activities, the Contractor is to perform work twice a year for two years. The duration of this project is only 210 working days or less than one year. Please clarify.</p> <p>Richard</p>	<p>The contractor's responsibility for this activity extends beyond the contract construction period.</p>
92	<p>Can you please tell me if any additional addendums have been issued since No. 1.</p>	<p>Yes Addendum No. 2 was issued and posted on the City website. Please visit the following link.</p> <p>http://www.ci.highland.ca.us/Downloads/</p>
93	<p>Plan sheet 36, bridge lighting, and the specifications for bridge lighting make no mention of the type of conduit required. The default per the state specs is rigid steel conduit. As there are 8 – 5” conduits to be installed but this will be very expensive. Is the rigid conduit required?</p>	<p>The contractor may use PVC Schedule 80 instead of rigid metal, as approved by the Engineer.</p>
Final Question and Response Update		